Annual Implementation Plan - 2024

Define actions, outcomes, success indicators and activities

Glengarry Primary School (2888)



Submitted for review by Julie Telford (School Principal) on 30 December, 2023 at 05:15 PM Endorsed by Kevin Mealing (Senior Education Improvement Leader) on 16 February, 2024 at 09:59 AM Endorsed by Belinda Gathercole (School Council President) on 13 March, 2024 at 08:01 AM



Define actions, outcomes, success indicators and activities

Goal 2	To improve student outcomes in literacy
12-month target 2.1 target	By end of 2024, increase the % of year 3 students achieving Exceeding or Strong for NAPLAN Reading from, 22% (2023) to 32%. By 2026 increase the % of year 5 students achieving Exceeding or Strong for NAPLAN Reading from, 50% (2023) to 57%
12-month target 2.2 target	By 2026 Increase % of students achieving high and medium NAPLAN Relative Growth (Interim) reading from 31% (2023) to 33%
12-month target 2.3 target	By 2026 increase the percentage, based upon a 3-year rolling average benchmark, of Year F –6 students assessed against the Victorian Curriculum (VC) Levels F–10 in Reading as being at or above level from 78% in 2022 to 81%
KIS 2.a Documented teaching and learning program based on the Victorian Curriculum and senior secondary pathways, incorporating extra-curricula programs	Embed the consistent use of the Glengarry Instructional Model
Actions	Develop a Glengarry PS approach for teaching of Reading
Outcomes	Leaders will: - Lead whole school agreement on Glengarry reading practices/philosophy where expectations are documented - Implement the strategic use of Breakspear tools in the SIT Meeting to monitor and track progress against goals - Use whole school formative and summative data as evidence for decision making (PAT, inquiry data, learning walks etc.) - Lead whole school in reviewing instructional model in alignment with our new learning Teachers will: - Trial and implement the agreed expectations from the Professional Learning (in an area of Reading) - Have clarity in the school's agreed expectations and philosophy of Reading - Deliver low variance instructional practices that are aligned to the agreed and reviewed, Instructional Model - Use formative data to notice patterns of impact in student outcomes. Students will:

	 - Be able to articulate the phases of the Instructional Model - Have increased engagement through anticipation of the agreed Instructional Model phases - Show awareness of the LI and SC and be able to describe what they are learning and how success will be measured Community will: - Understand the school's philosophy of Reading and how teachers deliver this in the classroom. 				
Success Indicators	Leading Indicators: - Increased dialogue and confidence to share reflections on current and proposed Reading practices (leaders to develop staff pre/post survey) - Active participation, discussion, trial and reflection of agreements from Professional Learning - Teacher planning on SharePoint shows planning that correlate to each phase of our agreed Instructional Model Students can describe what they are learning and how they know they are successful - Formative data sets through trialling /inquiry are used as evidence for agreed expectations Lagging Indicators: - Instructional Leadership observe agreed expectations with low variance across classrooms - Fountas and Pinnell data sets indicate at least 12-month growth - Teacher Judgements in Reading				
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Establish an inquiry and profession Language/Science of Learning and		☑ Leadership team ☑ Principal	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00
Nathaniel Swain whole staff profe Science of Reading. - trial of instructional approaches - refinement of our instructional n	3	☑ All staff	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 1	\$825.00 ☑ Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used

		☑ Leadership team ☑ Principal	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Complete training in systematic sy implementation from P-2	nthetic phonics for	☑ Principal ☑ Teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$12,000.00 Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used
Create an observation and feedback approach to build teacher capacity to deliver agreed Reading approaches		☑ Leadership team	☐ PLP Priority	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Model and develop a clear process for the strategic use of Breakspear Tools in SIT meetings to monitor and track progress of goals		☑ Principal	☐ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
KIS 2.c Documented teaching and learning program based on the Victorian Curriculum and senior secondary pathways, incorporating extra-curricula programs	Develop a high-quality evidence -based whole school curriculum for Literacy				
Actions	Develop a scope and sequence for Literacy, beginning with the component of Reading				

Outcomes	- Lead whole school learning and Teachers will: - Develop a high-quality evidence - Plan according to the developed Students will: - Be delivered learning that aligns Community will:	on Glengarry reading practices/phile the development of a scope and se-based scope and sequence for R d scope and sequence. Is with the planned scope and sequence sequence and sequence.	eqence for Readir eading (or a comp ence for Reading	ng F-6. onent of)	ed
Success Indicators	Leading Indicators: - Increased dialogue and confidence to share reflections on current and proposed Reading practices - Teacher planning on SharePoint shows planning that correlates to the scope and sequence - Formative data sets through trialling /inquiry are used as evidence for agreed expectations Lagging Indicators: - Instructional Leadership observe agreed expectations within planning and enacted in the classroom - Teacher Judgements in Reading				
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Develop a scope and sequence for reading (or a component of)		☑ All staff ☑ Leadership team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Create a role for literacy intervention and whole school testing as required to establish schedule of students requiring support		☑ Principal	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 4	\$56,141.00

	☑ Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used				
Goal 3	To improve student outcomes in numeracy				
12-month target 3.1 target	By 2026 increase the % of year 3 students achieving Exceeding or Strong for NAPLAN Numeracy from, 56% (2023) to 68%				
	By 2026 increase the % of year 5 students achieving Exceeding or Strong for NAPLAN Numeracy from, 71% (2023) to 73%				
12-month target 3.2 target	By 2026 Increase % of students achieving high and medium NAPLAN Relative Growth (Interim) Numeracy from 70% (2023) to 73%				
12-month target 3.3 target	By 2026 increase the percentage, based upon a 3-year rolling average benchmark, of Year F –6 students assessed against the Victorian Curriculum (VC) Levels F–10 for Number and Algebra as being at or above level from 89% in 2022 to 90%				
KIS 3.b Documented teaching and learning program based on the Victorian Curriculum and senior secondary pathways, incorporating extra-curricula programs	Build effectiveness of PLCs to inform planning and link to implementation of high-quality classroom practice.				
Actions	Weekly PLC meetings with a numeracy focus Instructional coaching and feedback cycles for all classroom teachers on best practice in mathematics				
Outcomes	Leaders will: - Lead PLC leader development with weekly leadership meeting - Provide instructional leadership through coaching and feedback cycles around implementation of effective practice in numeracy to all classroom teachers - Monitor whole school data sets for mathematics - PLC leaders will plan professional learning of high impact teaching practices for numeracy Teachers will: - Set goals for improving the implementation of high impact teaching strategies - Reflect and act on feedback to improve practice.				

	 Deliver low variance instructional practices that are aligned to the agreed Instructional Model Use formative data to notice patterns of impact in student outcomes. Students will: Know the LI and SC in mathematics and what their next step will be. Demonstrate increasing level of engagement in maths learning Community will: Understand the school's instructional practices for numeracy. 				
Success Indicators	Leading Indicators: - Increased dialogue and confidence to share reflections on high impact strategies for teaching of mathematics Active participation, discussion, trial and reflection in PLC forums Teacher planning on SharePoint shows planning that correlate to each phase of our agreed Instructional Model Students can describe what they are learning and how they know they are successful Lagging Indicators: - Instructional Leadership observe agreed expectations with low variance across classrooms - PAT maths data sets show 12 months or more growth in mathematics - Teacher Judgements in Mathematics - Growth for each PLC on the PLC Maturity Matrix				
Activities	People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams	
Create PLC improvement plan to increase consistency and fidelity to PLC practices		☑ PLC leaders ☑ Principal	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Observation and feedback cycles for classroom teachers on teaching and learning in mathematics		✓ Assistant principal✓ Teacher(s)	☐ PLP Priority	from: Term 1	\$0.00

to: Term 4

PLC leaders engage in leadership utilizing the PLC Leader observati areas		☑ PLC leaders ☑ Principal	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Goal 4	Develop and embed a whole-school approach to engagement, well-being and inclusion which is reflective of school values.			chool values.	
12-month target 4.1 target	Student voice and agency from 76% (2022) to 67% (2023) to 78% Resilience from 62% (2022) to 79% (2023) to 80% and increase high resilience from 17% (2023) to 20% School safety domain, managing bullying factor from 72% (2022) to 69% (2023) to 75% Social engagement domain, sense of connectedness factor from 70% (2022) to 65% (2023) to 72%				
12-month target 4.2 target	The percentage of F-6 students w	vith 20+ days of absence will decrease	se from 43% (202	22) to 40% (2023) to 30°	%
12-month target 4.3 target	Student agency and voice 74% (2022) to 78% (2023) to 80% Respect for diversity 82% (2022) to 84% (2023) to 86% Managing Bullying 77% (2022) to 68% (2023)				
KIS 4.b Responsive, tiered and contextualised approaches and strong relationships to support student learning, wellbeing and inclusion	Develop and embed a cohesive whole – school approach to student well – being and management.				
Actions	Implementation of the IGA approach to Student Attendance Refine school expectations around the school values and refine and implement the schools social skills program (inclusive of RR, school values, Berry St practices) Student behaviour, attendance and wellbeing data discussions to be timetabled into identified leadership meetings to monitor progress and to identify areas requiring support				
Outcomes	Leaders will: - Lead differentiated professional development on DI practices for teachers and ES Monitor school data sets to inform decisions on student well-being and engagement (including Student Survey and attendance data0 - Model the use of agreed documentation for DI evidence.			ey and attendance	

	 Present student engagement and well-being data to staff Teachers will: Use agreed school-wide Disability Inclusion documentation criteria Reflect and act on feedback to strengthen DI practices Lead student teams to build student voice, student agency and student leadership. Trial and reflect on effectiveness of strategies to support student well-being and engagement. Students will: 				
	 Engage in partnership discussion with staff and parents to plan and reflect on strategies for their own engagement and well-being. Community will: Engage in partnership discussion with staff and students to plan and reflect on strategies for student engagement and well-being. Know the school's approach to student well-being. 				
Success Indicators	Leading Indicators: - Teacher planning on SharePoint show differentiation for students with particular adjustments - Successful Disability Improvement Profile applications - Lagging Indicators: - Quality of IEPs and SSGs show improvement against the department rubric. - Student Attitude to school survey data shows improvement - Student absence decrease				
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Build staff, student and community expectations around Glengarry Approach to attendance.		☑ Disability inclusion coordinator ☑ Principal	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Establish a whole school scope and sequence based on RRRR and school values.		☑ All staff ☑ Disability inclusion coordinator	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1	\$0.00

	☑ Wellbeing team		to: Term 2	
Create an attendance officer role	☑ Education support ☑ Principal	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Establish an observation timetable for DI coordinator to visit classroom and provide feedback	☑ Wellbeing team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Weekly wellbeing team meetings (including Student Well-being Officer) incorporate a focus on Student behaviour, attendance and wellbeing data	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Learning specialist(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Implement a fortnightly ES PLC focussed on best practices for classroom support	☑ Disability inclusion coordinator	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Regular staff professional learning scheduled into the meeting schedule to build capacity in whole school Behaviour Management Processes	☑ Disability inclusion coordinator	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Create a Student wellbeing role position description to enhance the schools social skills approach based on existing programs	☑ Administration team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$20,753.25 Schools Mental Health Menu items will be used which

		may include DET funded or free items
--	--	--------------------------------------